
Part II - Actual Occurrence

The follow information is to be provided when requesting exercise credit for an actual incident	Number Fatalities _____	Number Injured _____	Number Evacuated _____	Number Sheltered _____	Estimated Public Damages _____	Estimated Private Damages _____
---	----------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Part III - Corrective Actions ****Required****

Narrative of use of Hazardous Materials Plan

This full scale exercise focused on response and operational coordination to an hazmat spill during a transportation accident in Humboldt County. The core capabilities to be addressed within the exercise were: Operational Coordination, Operational Communication, Planning, Situational Assessment, Intelligence and Information Sharing, and On-Scene Security, Protections, and Law Enforcement.

The scenario simulated a two-vehicle collision resulting in a spill of liquid cyanide onto Interstate 80, causing one fatality and one injury. Trucks from Humboldt County Public Works and Transwood Trucking at I-80 Exit 220 with response staging areas established at Exit 219 and Exit 221. Multiple volunteer fire departments, law enforcement agencies, EMS agencies, technical experts, and others participated in the simulated response.

This is the fourth in a four-year exercise plan. It was designed to build upon the tabletop held in late 2024 by expanding the awareness of County leadership, responding agencies, and private entities in the need for increased cooperation and coordination to continue building our readiness and resiliency.

Narrative of Corrective Actions

The following actions were identified to address areas for improvement:

- Conduct additional training, drills, and exercises; to practice the rapid incident command establishment procedures by conducting multi-agency command exercises.
- Develop or refine protocols to ensure that critical information gathered during incidents is consistently communicated to all relevant stakeholders, including appointed officials and elected representatives.
- Establish clear protocols for immediately engaging HAZMAT technicians and environmental health professionals to assist in critical decision-making.
- Clarify Establish a protocol for clearly identifying on-site IC/staging area, so that as resources arrive on-scene they are aware of where they need to go to check-in. More quickly establish and staff staging area to organize available resources and prevent congestion.
- Define clear criteria for transitioning to Unified Command and ensure all responding units including fire, LE, and EMS are integrated into a unified decision-making structure.
- Engage with NOEM to receive an interoperability assessment for Humboldt and Lander County interoperable communications.

Part VI - Signature


LEPC Chair Signature

Carol L. Lynn
LEPC Chair Name

__10-30-2025__
Date

Local Emergency Planning Committees Level of Response Questionnaire

The State Emergency Response Commission (SERC) is continuing to update its list of local response levels and capabilities. In doing so, we ask your cooperation in completing this brief questionnaire. The information will be shared with all Local Emergency Planning Committees (LEPCs) to help facilitate coordinated response efforts.

County: **Humboldt**

Date: **10/30/2025**

Name of person completing this questionnaire: **Carol Lynn**

1. What is the LEPC's declared Level of Response? (mark all that apply)

Awareness

Operations

Technician

Is this level designated in the hazardous materials emergency response plan?

Yes

No

Does the LEPC respond at a higher level of response due to agreements with other entities?

Yes

No

2. How many responders are trained in accordance with the OSHA 1910.120 Standards?

(Refer to Question #1)

Awareness Level	40
Operations Level	20
Technician Level	3
Incident Commander	1
Specialist Level	3

3. Is there any special training, not required under OSHA 1910.120 Standards, provided to first responders? If yes, please explain. (Example: Awareness Level personnel are trained in decontamination procedures.)

Awareness Level	yes
Operations Level	no
Technician Level	no

LEPC COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATION

The following requirements must be met by the LEPCs for compliance with federal, State and SERC laws, regulations and policies and procedures. This checklist must be completed, signed and returned annually by March 31, even if the LEPC is not a recipient of grant funds.

A check mark in the squares on the left will indicate a YES response.

- Have changes in the LEPC Bylaws and Membership list been submitted to SERC?
- | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Bylaws reviewed/updated - | Date: 10-30-25 | Submitted: 11-3-25 |
| Membership list reviewed/updated - | Date: 10-30-25 | Submitted: 11-3-25 |
- Have LEPC meetings been held, at a minimum quarterly, and have agendas and minutes of all meetings, including special meetings, been forwarded to the SERC?
- Has the LEPC submitted all required reports which summarize the financial management of the active grants (*i.e., copies of invoices and verification of expenditures*)?
- Has the LEPC reviewed and updated its hazardous materials emergency plan (or hazmat portion of the jurisdiction's "all hazards" plan), NRT-1, Level of Response Questionnaire and Letter of Promulgation within the last year? Have the review results and updates been submitted to the SERC in writing along with a copy of the LEPC meeting minutes documenting review of the Plan by January 31st?
- | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Plan update - | Date: 10-30-25 | Submitted: 11-3-25 |
| NRT - 1 update - | Date: 10-30-25 | Submitted: 11-3-25 |
| Level of Response Questionnaire update - | Date: 10-30-25 | Submitted: 11-3-25 |
| Letter of Promulgation update - | Date: 10-30-25 | Submitted: 11-3-25 |
- Has the LEPC reported on at least one incident or exercise (exercise required at least every third year) of its hazardous materials emergency response plan by January 31st?
- | | | |
|--|----------------|---------------------------|
| Indicate the date of the most recent exercise: | 5-21-25 | Submitted: 11-3-25 |
| Indicate the date of an incident report used in lieu of an exercise: | | Submitted: |
- Has the LEPC met the **annual** requirement of publishing the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act "information availability" in the local newspaper? Has the standard Affidavit of Publication been sent to the SERC?
- | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Date of publication: | 10-30-25 | Affidavit Submitted: 11-3-25 |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
- Has LEPC read SERC policies?

As chairman of **Humboldt** Local Emergency Planning Committee, I attest
County Name

all information provided on this Compliance Certification is accurate


LEPC/Chair Signature

10-30-25
Date

RETURN THIS SIGNED FORM WITH GRANT APPLICATION

Revised: 07/14/2022